# PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF INCRIMINATION

s.128

### Introduction

- s.128 provides another significant change to the law in respect to self incrimination.
- Under the common law a witness would refuse to answer questions.
- Under s.128 the witness may be compelled to answer in return for a certificate of protection.
- As under common law, corporations are not protected.



### The Procedure

- If it appears to the Court that there are grounds, the Court <u>must</u> in the <u>absence of the jury</u> make the witness aware: s.132.
- The witness may object to giving evidence on the grounds of incrimination/civil penalty.
- The Court determines if there are reasonable grounds.
- If reasonable, the witness may give evidence, or the Court determines if it is in the interests of justice for the witness to do so.

## The Procedure (cont)



 In either instance, a certificate is given to the witness.

 Note, if there is liability to incrimination under foreign law, the witness may refuse to answer the questions.

## **Application of the Section**

 Only applies to proceedings to which the Act applies.

 Sentencing hearings depend on s.4.



## To Whom Does it Apply?

- o "Witness" includes a party to the proceedings (i.e. defendant).
- Note, a defendant who gives evidence in their own defence cannot claim the privilege in respect to facts in issue.

## Reasonable Grounds



• The onus lies on the person seeking the protection.

os.142: such applications are on the balance of probabilities.

### The Interests of Justice

- Query the quality of the evidence received after a certificate.
- Factors that weigh on a decision on the interests of justice include;
  - > The probative value of the evidence.
  - > The nature of the proceeding.
- Consequences for the witness; i.e. penalty/charge to which they would be exposed? Likelihood? Harm to the witness if published?

### The Protection

- The certificate affords a use and derivative protection.
  - > Use of the evidence.
  - > Any further evidence that derives from its revelation.
- Query retrials R v Cornwell (2007) 231
   CLR 260 suggests that a witness must apply for protection again in a retrial.
- A Commonwealth certificate protects in "all Australian Courts".
- Check the evidence regulations for the extent of NT protection.